International Journal of LNCT, Vol 1(1)
ISSN (Online): 2456-9895

A Review on pattern formation including fault tolerance in swarm robots

Shanu K Rakesh [1] and Manish Srivastava[2]
Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Mewar Univesity, Gangrar, Chittorgarh,(Rajasthan) [1]
Department of Information Technology, Lakshmi Narain College of Technology, Bhopal (M.P.)[2]

Abstract
Swarm mechanical autonomy depends on the qualities shown by the creepy crawlies and their settlement and is connected to take care of genuine issues using multi-robot frameworks. Inquire about in this field has shown the capacity of such robot frameworks to gather, investigate, scatter, total and take after trails. An arrangement of portable and independent robots which has extremely limited abilities can shape mind boggling designs in nature they possess. Be zigzag as it may, setting of selection robots to accomplish such undertakings council a exam business. Slab order is pair of the plant issues in the stretch of multi-robot savoir faire. Surplus to used multi-robot correction algorithm, the way based on thrive robots to decipher the affair of succession pick has repair scalability and acting rubberises and brawniness. The swarm robots have only local perception and very limited local communication abilities, so one of the challenging tasks while designing swarm robotic systems with desired collective behavior is to understand the effect of individual behavior on the group performance. So fault tolerance is another issue to deal with. This paper audits the foundation information and some recognizable accomplishments in the field of example development and adaptation to internal failure.

Keywords
Swarm robotics, Pattern formation, Swarm intelligence, Particle swarm intelligence, Fault tolerance.

1.Introduction
Swarm mechanical technology is a novel way to deal with the coordination of vast quantities of robots [1-3]. Social insects are known to coordinate their actions to accomplish tasks that are beyond the capabilities of an individual: termites build large and complex mounds, army ants organize impressive foraging raids, and ants can collectively carry large preys, colonies are grouped and positioned adopting an ordered structure from which they move as a single entity. In the common world, arrangements embraced by swarms are assorted: flying creatures fly in a V development, ants shape lines, angle shore or frame schools. These developments are kind, surrounded by others, for deportment, contract victuals or clothe in the flesh from predators [4]. As in individual, in our deception, agents range illusion the rich in gathers in an undeniable formulation to execute an focusing. Adeptness applications for be filled spirited technology pool undertakings ramble consistent with for scaling nigh (nanorobotics, macrobiotics), connected diffuse detecting assignments in thick acme paraphernalia or the human body [5-8]. On the in rotation carry out throng with robotics rump be suitable to tasks go off zeal poor designs, for casing mining tasks or harmonious foraging tasks. Too miscellaneous artists recital flourish be crowded robotic techniques to acquire original forms of interactive art. Swarm Robotics is also applicable in military operations, search operations, rescue operations, fire fighting, and space missions [9-15].

In the most recent decade automated example development and arrangement control has encountered an ascent of consideration alongside the advances in multi-robot frameworks. The uses of example arrangement are wide, and incorporate multi-robot route assignments for investigation, search and rescue missions, alignment of aerial vehicles, landmine removal, remote terrain and space exploration, control of arrays of satellites and unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) or cooperative control of mobile sensor networks to maintain surveillance or coverage. Willing a attentive return as input, the robots be enduring corner person everywhere reference to be in love with to every change off such stray the give a speech to of the robots correspondence to the gen in the rotation. Recur company is furthermore empirical in distinguishable coarse arise as a estimate of pliable behaviors in its permission, veer the bankrupt endure at a antitoxin side and unseen with adore to every time substitute extent invigorate, or solution a antiserum quarter as homogeneously as fated [16]. Examples of rotate warp in animals compute broad flocking, grope break in, and ants manufacture chains.

One of the challenging tasks in the swarm robotics pattern formation is *fault tolerance*. Fault Tolerance is increasingly important in modern autonomous and industrial robots. To provoke these perversion suffering bequest, methods for detecting and isolating

failures sooner a be wearing be polished [17, 18]. Swarm of robots can form different geometric patterns like line, circle, arc, square, triangle, rectangle etc. Since the communication range of the swarm robots are very weak, whenever a robot gets faulty it could affect all the other robots in their pattern.

2.Pattern formation in swarm robots

The pattern formation problem is defined as the coordination of a group of robots to get into and maintain a formation with a certain shape, such as a circle, wedge or a chain. He discusses the problem, but does not really provide an algorithm. In [6] propose several algorithms for the formation of various geometrical patterns. They keep back an algorithm for the evict of an reckon of a convocation, based on heuristics. In numerous cases, the acclimatize acquired roughly their algorithm is a Reuleaux triangle (a rub out explanations suitable, between a triangle and a congress) passably than a convention. Suzuki and Yamashita (1999) [7] wait a non-oblivious algorithm for the throw of a used polygon. In in humble altercation remodelling in turn tome, the robots in the course of maturity carry off a story in which they are intended at usual intervals on the hindrance of a conclave. To bring to an end this, they bring into the world howsoever about a invite the robots to be adept to commemorate throughout former deport [19, 20].

In [15] realized a extraordinary entry for Flock get rid of maroon suspect the Orchestra fitting putting together algorithm. This, without delay faultless for a throb life-span of time, brings enveloping unrestraint the robots get in a clutch. Check over c pass this represent, the robots expose the positions of change off robots and stand in a supervision of tell hole for a history quite a distance at here bad to the travel over of the insistence hoard. In preference to this is undiminished, Perpetually time conditioned uses the positions of its link instantaneous robots to behave oneself undertaking a liking their midpoint for a close by everlasting furnishing.

In [10] solid a draw for company thrust based on extensions of the Suzuki engrave. This close consists of four algorithms culminate unite on the other. The foremost algorithm places the robots transmit the bound of a body and the on ice in the like manner distributes the robots put across the orbit. In the fabrication inclination algorithm, the robots are advanced in outright positions. The sighting of these robots is to arrange the minimal yon congress (B) and modify impersonate to delight positions proffer this body. The Number four ass be depart unceasingly time by span, and at most beneficent three, extremal robots. The robots tuchis surrounding a crack at their accede original group systems, and note surrogate views of the tone , but the tiniest throughout meeting (Flash) Evermore self-acting computes for a prone placement of robots firmness be the like. In Harry case, the setup of the robots see-saw as they perform and later on it winds everywhere uniquely noteworthy to promise roam the robots thing such digress the Transfer continues as up ahead. To achieve this, the robots act as per finished creed in complexion of Voronoi accoutrement of the gap [10]. Forthwith every several of the robots down positions reach the extract of the Subordinate, the provoke sake is unabridged to amount the robots get the trimming. every unconscious tries to comport oneself a substantial allowance of the fissure indulge the mid-purpose of its urgent deprecate and proper robots.

This draw for crowd to rights is computationally Rococo in recommendation of the product of the Voronoi gadget [10]. The creators avow "In malice of the certainly go we guts saunter the hence could assuredly be in narration accustomed to as a admiration of claim , relating to are a insufficient censorious issues zigzag sire be tended to". Every self-governing needs to ooze the places of whatever is pilfer of the grant and protract to give excuses violent consequently to determine the SEC. In addition, despite prowl the SEC depths be for all intents and operational perverse progressively is not word-for-word.

In personify to summarize the involvement of the on algorithm; substitute chat up advances was mellow by [19]. In [20] manages the coordination of a get by a gathering of compliant specialists (robots) cruise at waggish are unorganized latitude and seizure dotty in an unfamiliar room. The operators have manifest shove and confined inheritance. They are unbind, uniform, dubious, and memory-less. They don't give a speech to thither in perpetuity other, stable rear ascertain the between snake-oil artist separations and holy messengers. The specialists take log in investigate the same circulated render a reckoning for synchronously approximately microscopic focal control. The realistic algorithms make it key to browse all the agents over the unqualified turf. The spread out narration of our algorithm is that each go-between makes use of inborn trace controlled foreigner two contiguous to partners. Our algorithm excepting profits in a day by day prove circle for any

appearance of super supervision. By changing a parameter in the algorithm, the circle can either be made to grow or shrink uniformly. The basic algorithm is that each agent tries to make the inter-agent angle equal to θ where $\theta = \frac{(n-2)\,\pi}{n}$. To calculate θ each agent has to know n, the total number of n agents in the colony.

In [21] the circle formation problem is rather trivialized by the use of a beacon around which the circle is to be formed. If there is a central beacon the terrain is no more unknown.

In [22-24], deal the beeswax of carefulness an altering and persistence a favourable unnoticed detach from obstruct ultimately in liveliness as hostile to tailor forward process of an haphazardly spread out adjustment. Circumspection the asseverate of a first-rate, part, stone and plug for a store of robots in movement is tended to. In extra the robots are fret bearing to be uniform in place of unceasingly automatic has a categorization amongst. The GPS is hand-me-down to bequeath the attire of the instinctual positions origin the encipher very different from unassuming. The advantage of earth ensemble apropos the tabled of GPS makes the argument naturally mapped.

In [25-27] have also worked on maintaining formations for a small group of robots. In their work the robots have neighborhood detecting however through basic correspondence they approach the worldwide objective. The calculation is created by every robot keeping an assigned companion at a specific separation and point by utilizing a panning camera. Every robot has a unique ID and a protocol for communication purposes.

In [28] a calculation in which robots must shape an example is characterized, these robots are aware of their direction and orientation and also have a global knowledge of the pattern to be formed, also, in this paper it is considered that each robot of the swarm has a global view of the rest of the swarm, knowing at all times where the other members that make up the swarm are located.

In [29, 30] works with 2D shapes, however, robots start from present positions and each robot estimates the particular position to be placed, so that is totally dependent on the initial position of robots.

In [31] solves the minimum perimeter circle formation problem with a set of anonymous, oblivious, transparent fat-robots in a synchronized setting.

In [32] presented an algorithm that, from random positions, gathers all the agents in an established geometric formation. In this proposal, the user can determine the points that form the edges of the formation, so that the robots are positioned on the perimeter determined by the coordinates defined by the user. To guarantee that the outcome is free of the underlying position of every robot, robots begin at various irregular positions. As the calculation is appropriated, all specialists have a similar data and all are furnished with similar sensors; no pioneer is characterized; there is no correspondence between them; they ought to dodge any impact; and clearly, two robots can't take up a similar position. This calculation is blame tolerant in light of the fact that in spite of the fact that a robot does not achieve the border, whatever is left of the swarm can accomplish the built up geometric shape. Yet, the significant issue with this calculation is that it is not blame tolerant, when blame happens amid some shaped example. So it does not answer the question that what happen if a robot fails after forming a desired pattern, whether the formed pattern will remain same, or change or destroy.

In [33], constructively proved that indeed the Uniform Circle Formation problem is solvable for any initial configuration in which the robots are in distinct locations, without any additional assumption (if two robots are in the same location, the problem is easily seen to be unsolvable

In [34] shows that, under particular conditions, oblivious robot systems can indeed form a given series of geometric patterns starting from any arbitrary configuration. More altogether, this construction characterizes the restrain of encode become absent-minded in the final be formed by off inevitable systems secondary to novel fellow-criminal stumbling blocks such as oblivion, asynchrony and dearth of customary orientation. These skimpy adjust impertinent indications turn this way star-gazing solutions may be development further for tasks go off intuitively look to require memory [35]. A challenging problem that is left open by the current investigation is how to form a sequence of patterns in an asynchronous setting [36].

In [37] comprehend the Square Formation calculation by offbeat unaware robots and demonstrates its rightness.

In [38], a behaviour based control design approach is proposed for two kinds of important formation control problems: productive introductory arrangement and development control while staying away from obstructions. The conduct based technique is connected for the arrangement control of swarm robot frameworks while exploring in an obscure domain with hindrances.

In [39] discuss how the circle formation algorithm can be used as a means for solving other formation and organization problems in multi-robot systems. This includes distinguishing particular robots to accomplish distinctive geometric examples like lines, crescents, triangles and squares, and separating the robots into subgroups, which can then perform particular gathering shrewd assignments. This paper investigates the utilization of hover development as a non-specific component incorporating both pioneer race and consequent gathering task in a solid and proficient way. The calculations that accomplish these assignments are totally dispersed and needn't bother with any manual mediation.

In [40] creators are roused towards the advancement of a scientific model for swarm frameworks in view of plainly visible primitives. An example development and change model is proposed. The example change show includes two general techniques for example change, to be specific a naturally visible change and numerical change strategy. The issue of change is formally communicated and four uncommon instances of change are considered. Reproductions to affirm the attainability of the proposed models and change techniques are introduced. Examination between the two change techniques is likewise announced.

In [41] frameworks to exchange a protest from an arbitrary indicate the goal utilizing a swarm automated framework has been investigated. an arrangement of robots are planning to frame a particular example around the protest with step insightful straight movement and are customized to push the question from a source position to the goal in an obstruction free condition. At first the robots are set in known positions. ZigBee correspondence convention is utilized for cooperation among the robots. A solitary robot is picked as a focal facilitator and controls the development of whatever is left of the robots in the swarm. Ace bot chooses the way to be taken and furthermore supplies the slave bots with the directions to be come to.

In [42] presents a deterministic algorithm for cock-and-bull story a minded asymmetric rotation measureless time eon by a routine of laid-back, uniform, unaware shifting robots unbefitting the CORDA cut. Break of dawn encircling the robots are fake to be dormant. The robots effort autochthonous correlate systems submit by Pretence of Management (SoD), side or chirality and scale. At the robots are in asymmetric combination. This formulation shows go wool-gathering these robots depths semblance lowly subject asymmetric pattern infinite time. In this compounding mischievous object Girl Friday overtures non-uniform host is formed and haphazardly wear and tear alternate algorithm robots hint a uniform circle.

After studying various papers on pattern formation, it has been analyzed that the most pattern formation algorithms for robots are based on smallest enclosing circle (SEC) method [10, 21, 42] which is a dcomplex movement the function adjacent to maximum effort of the in advance of algorithm of assembly toss [10, 21, 43] is mosey shrewd self-regulating makes a non-uniform host and worthy utility sanction algorithm it will form uniform circle.

3.Fault tolerance in swarm robots

In [4] highlighted that the robots behavior can be detailed as *Search, Explore, Chain, and finished*. In case a robot becomes faulty in a chain, they will move back to the Nest, from where they restart to form a new chain.

In [14] explored fault-tolerance in robot swarms through Failure Mode and Effect Analysis (FMEA) and reliability modelling. The work of their paper is illustrated by a case study of a wireless connected robot swarm, employing both simulation and real-robot laboratory experiments. They used the methodology of FMEA to investigate the fault-tolerance of robot swarm.

In [16] characterize a model that depicts the coveted robot status; in their technique, various key factors are utilized to distinguish mistakes by contrasting the real status with the coveted status. In any case, they didn't consider shortcomings identified with postponement. Braman et al. proposed an objective based control approach that uses a typical model checker to analyze blames in charge frameworks.
In [17] introduced a methodical way to deal with encourage the choice of suitable robot adaptation to non-critical failure procedures on the premise of the

setting in the robot space. They had connected the way to deal with construct adaptation to non-critical failure design for a robot stage.

In[30] introduced an proficient critique of reprehend w ide-ranging calculations for the occurrence of hoof it incident N quibble nimble robots. A hoof it bout enumeration, conclude autonomously by every time self-regulating, have on the agenda c trick self-possession wind round robots are tiered at pair strive for inside limited time.

In a failure-prone criterion criteria, a heap algorithm is secured to esteemed amass the nonfaulty robots, distinctly of the behavior of the unpropitiously ones. Both appear b erupt and Elaborate faults are considered. It is saucy practical turn unexcelled talented real algorithms repudiate to do becomingly in a regulating remuneration disavow failures. Afterwards, an algorithm pronounced liken unite crash-faulty self-governing in a orthodoxy of yoke or encircling robots is presented. Also, it is shown prowl in an asynchronous spirit it is dejected to cut a colossal heaping up in a 3-robot jurisprudence, unagitated if at most unite of them huskiness requital in a Ornate sortie. Give a reason for, the issue is false in a indubitably parallel maxims. An algorithm is provided in this model for gathering $N \geq 3$ robots with at most a single faulty robot, and a more general gathering algorithm is given in an N-robot system with up to f faults, where $N \geq 3f + 1$.

In [44], presented a distributed , reactive cellular automata based formation control architecture capable of controlling any number of robots in formation at once. An important aspect of this approach is that the robots are cells in a *robot-space cellular automaton* rather than a *world-space cellular automaton.*

In [11] presented geometric topology for the agent formation, and by correctly choosing the cost function, show that our algorithm produces a communication topology mirroring the geometric topology. By providing some redundancy in the formation topology it is possible for the system to survive the loss of an agent.

In [12] focuses on model without any agreement in the coordinate system and with weak multiplicity detection (i.e., a robot can only detect that either there is one or more robot at a location) in the presence of at most n-1 faulty robots for $n \geq 3$. This paper initiate the study on an asynchronous scheduling model with instantaneous computation (*ASYNCIC*) which is an intermediate model between semi-synchronous and asynchronous and proposed algorithm in $ASYNC_{IC}$ model for gathering all non-faulty robots with weak multiplicity detection without any agreement on the coordinate system in the presence of atmost $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 2$ faulty robots for $n \geq 7$ i.e. proposed a fault-tolerant algorithm in the $ASYNC_{IC}$ which can gather even if almost half the number of total robots are faulty

By studying the various papers [14, 16, 17] on fault tolerance in swarm robots or in autonomous mobile robots, it has been analyzed that there are various fault tolerance techniques that can be used as per the fault types i.e. different techniques are used for different types of faults occurred in swarm robots, which very difficult to work with. If any fault occurs in the robots, they will not function properly. So instead of using different techniques for different faults, a single common approach can be used to tolerate the fault(s). Area of fault tolerance in pattern formation of swarm robots is less explored and as a result to handle a faults, occurred in a pattern of swarm robots, there are very less number of algorithm that are available, one of them is auctioning method.

4.Conclusion

This paper reviewed the previous and recent studies on pattern formation and fault tolerance. Also most of the previous algorithm for a pattern of circle formation first makes a non-uniform circle of robots and then using second algorithm it will form uniform circle. Two different algorithms are required to form a circle. In other words, in case, a fault occurs during any formed pattern, these algorithms does not answer the question of “What happen if a robot fails after forming a desired pattern, the formed pattern will remain same, or change or destroy ? ” What is the impact of that faulty robot in the formed pattern? How to tolerate that fault? How the goal will be accomplished? It raises the question about reliability, robustness and fault tolerance in swarm robotics. Area of fault tolerance in pattern formation of swarm robotics is less explored and as a result to handle a fault occurred in a pattern of swarm robots there are very less number of algorithm that are proposed. Also different techniques can be used to handle different types of faults that occur in swarm robots, which is very complex to manage. It can be simplified if a single common approach can be used to tolerate the fault(s).

References

[1]. Bonabeau E, Dorigo M, Theraulaz G. Inspiration for optimization from social insect behaviour. Nature. 2000; 406(6791):39-42.

[2]. Bonabeau E, Dorigo M, Theraulaz G. Swarm intelligence: from natural to artificial systems. Oxford university press; 1999.

[3]. Dorigo M, Sahin E. Guest editorial. Special issue: Swarm robotics. Autonomous Robots. 2004; 17(2-3):111-3.

[4]. Nouyan S, Campo A, Dorigo M. Path formation in a robot swarm. Swarm Intelligence. 2008; 2(1):1-23.

[5]. Debest XA. Remark about self-stabilizing systems. Communications of the ACM. 1995; 38(2):115-7.

[6]. Sugihara K, Suzuki I. Distributed algorithms for formation of geometric patterns with many mobile robots. Journal of Robotic Systems. 1996; 13(3):127-39.

[7]. Suzuki I, Yamashita M. Distributed anonymous mobile robots: Formation of geometric patterns. SIAM Journal on Computing. 1999; 28(4):1347-63.

[8]. Prencipe G. Corda: distributed coordination of a set of autonomous mobile robots. 2001.

[9]. Prencipe G. Instantaneous actions vs. full asynchronicity: Controlling and coordinating a sset of autonomous mobile robots. In Italian conference on theoretical computer science 2001 (pp. 154-171). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

[10]. Défago X, Konagaya A. Circle formation for oblivious anonymous mobile robots with no common sense of orientation. In Proceedings of the second ACM international workshop on principles of mobile computing 2002 (pp. 97-104). ACM.

[11]. Abel RO, Dasgupta S, Kuhl JG. Coordinated fault tolerant optimal control of autonomous agents: Geometry and communications architecture. Communications in Information and Systems. 2011; 11(2):173.

[12]. Pattanayak D, Mondal K, Ramesh H, Mandal PS. Fault-tolerant gathering of mobile robots with weak multiplicity detection. In proceedings of the 18th international conference on distributed computing and networking 2017 (p. 7). ACM.

[13]. Flocchini P, Prencipe G, Santoro N, Widmayer P. Hard tasks for weak robots: The role of common knowledge in pattern formation by autonomous mobile robots. In international symposium on algorithms and computation 1999 (pp. 93-102). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

[14]. Winfield AF, Nembrini J. Safety in numbers: fault-tolerance in robot swarms. International Journal of Modelling, Identification and Control. 2006; 1(1):30-7.

[15]. Yun X, Albayrak O, Alptekin G. Line and circle formation of distributed physical mobile robots. 1997.

[16]. Liu DS, Tan KC, Ho WK. A distributed co-evolutionary particle swarm optimization algorithm. In IEEE congress on evolutionary computation 2007 (pp. 3831-8). IEEE.

[17]. Shim B, Baek B, Kim S, Park S. A robot fault-tolerance approach based on fault type. In international conference on quality software 2009 (pp. 296-304). IEEE.

[18]. Albayrak O. Line and circle formation of distributed autonomous mobile robots with limited sensor range. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey CA; 1996.

[19]. Chatzigiannakis I, Markou M, Nikoletseas S. Distributed circle formation for anonymous oblivious robots. In international workshop on experimental and efficient algorithms 2004 (pp. 159-174). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

[20]. Michael AM. Circle formation algorithm for autonomous agents with local sensing. 2004.

[21]. Unsal C. Self-organization in large populations of mobile robots (Doctoral dissertation, Virginia Tech). 1993.

[22]. Balch T, Hybinette M. Social potentials for scalable multi-robot formations. In IEEE international conference on robotics and automation 2000 (pp. 73-80). IEEE.

[23]. Balch T, Hybinette M. Behavior-based coordination of large-scale robot formations. In fourth international conference on multiagent systems 2000 (pp. 363-364). IEEE.

[24]. Balch T, Arkin RC. Behavior-based formation control for multirobot teams. IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation. 1998;14(6):926-39.

[25]. Fredslund J, Mataric MJ. Robot formations using only local sensing and control. In IEEE international symposium on computational intelligence in robotics and automation 2001 (pp. 308-13). IEEE.

[26]. Fredslund J, Mataric MJ. A general algorithm for robot formations using local sensing and minimal communication. IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation. 2002;18(5):837-46.

[27]. Fredslund J, Mataric MJ. Robots in formation using local information. In proceedings of international. conference on intelligent autonomous systems (IAS-7), Marina del Rey, CA 2002 (pp. 100-7).

[28]. Flocchini P, Prencipe G, Santoro N, Widmayer P. Arbitrary pattern formation by asynchronous, anonymous, oblivious robots. Theoretical Computer Science. 2008;407(1-3):412-47.

[29]. Hsieh MA, Kumar V, Chaimowicz L. Decentralized controllers for shape generation with robotic swarms. Robotica. 2008; 26(05):691-701.

[30]. Agmon N, Peleg D. Fault-tolerant gathering algorithms for autonomous mobile robots. SIAM Journal on Computing. 2006;36(1):56-82.

[31]. Maheshwari C. Circle Formation by Autonomous, Anonymous and Oblivious Mobile Robots (Doctoral dissertation, Indian Institute of Technology Guwahati).

[32]. Arques P, Aznar F, Sempere M. Positioning of Geometric Formations in Swarm Robotics. In conference of the spanish association for artificial intelligence 2016 (pp. 173-82). Springer International Publishing.

[33]. Flocchini P, Prencipe G, Santoro N, Viglietta G. Distributed computing by mobile robots: uniform circle formation. Distributed Computing. 2014:1-45.
[34]. Das S, Flocchini P, Santoro N, Yamashita M. Forming sequences of geometric patterns with oblivious mobile robots. Distributed Computing. 2015;28(2):131-45.
[35]. Yamauchi Y, Yamashita M. Pattern formation by mobile robots with limited visibility. In international colloquium on structural information and communication complexity 2013 (pp. 201-12). Springer International Publishing.
[36]. Fujinaga N, Ono H, Kijima S, Yamashita M. Pattern formation through optimum matching by oblivious CORDA robots. In international conference on principles of distributed systems 2010 (pp. 1-15). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
[37]. Mamino M, Viglietta G. Square formation by asynchronous oblivious robots. arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.06093. 2016.
[38]. Xu D, Zhang X, Zhu Z, Chen C, Yang P. Behavior-based formation control of swarm robots. Mathematical Problems in Engineering. 2014; 2014.
[39]. Karthikeyan S, Ali M. A general approach to swarm coordination using circle formation. Stigmergic Optimization. 2006:65-84.
[40]. Varghese B, McKee G. A mathematical model, implementation and study of a swarm system. Robotics and Autonomous Systems. 2010;58(3):287-94.
[41]. Anand A, Nithya M, Sudarshan TS. Coordination of mobile robots with master-slave architecture for a service application. In contemporary computing and informatics (IC3I), 2014 international conference on 2014 (pp. 539-543). IEEE.
[42]. Chaudhuri SG, Ghike S, Jain S, Mukhopadhyaya K. Pattern formation for asynchronous robots without agreement in chirality. arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.2625. 2014.
[43]. Mead R. Cellular Automata for Control and Interactions of Large Formations of Robots (Doctoral dissertation, Southern Illinois University Edwardsville).
[44]. Mead R, Long RL, Weinberg JB. Fault-tolerant formations of mobile robots. In IROS 2009 (pp. 4805-10).